



DAMTP-2007-115

MIFP-07-31



ArXiv:0712.0615

Infinite-Dimensional Symmetries of Two-Dimensional Coset Models Coupled to Gravity

H. Lü,¹ Malcolm J. Perry² and C.N. Pope^{1,2}

¹ George P. & Cynthia W. Mitchell Institute for Fundamental Physics,
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242, USA.

² DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences,
University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge CB3 0WA, England.

Abstract

In an earlier paper we studied the infinite-dimensional symmetries of symmetric-space sigma models (SSMs) in a flat two-dimensional spacetime. Here, we extend our investigation to the case of two-dimensional SSMs coupled to gravity. These theories arise from the toroidal reduction of higher-dimensional gravity and supergravities to two dimensions. We construct explicit expressions for the symmetry transformations under the affine Kac-Moody extension $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ that arises when starting from a $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$ coset model. We also construct further explicit symmetry transformations that correspond to the modes L_n of a Virasoro subalgebra with $n \geq -1$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Lax Equation and Infinite-Dimensional Symmetries	4
2.1	Reduction from three dimensions	4
2.2	The Lax equation	6
3	The Kac-Moody Symmetries	8
3.1	The Kac-Moody transformations	8
3.2	The Kac-Moody algebra	13
4	Virasoro-Like Symmetries	14
4.1	Virasoro-like transformations	14
4.2	Virasoro-like symmetries	16
4.3	Commutators of Virasoro and Kac-Moody transformations	18
5	Conclusions	19
A	The Flat-Space Limit	21
A.1	Decoupling of gravity	21
A.2	Kac-Moody symmetries in the flat-space limit	22
A.3	Virasoro-type symmetries in the flat-space limit	24

1 Introduction

The study of supergravity theories, and their symmetries, have played a very important rôle in uncovering the underlying structures of string theory. Especially significant are the U-duality symmetries of the string, which have their origin in the classical global symmetries exhibited by eleven-dimensional supergravity and type IIA and IIB supergravity after toroidal dimensional reduction. For example, if one reduces eleven-dimensional supergravity on an n -torus, for $n \leq 8$, the resulting $D = (11 - n)$ -dimensional theory exhibits a global E_n symmetry [1–3]. In the cases $n \geq 3$ this symmetry arises in quite a subtle way, involving an interplay between the original eleven-dimensional metric and the 3-form potential. The global symmetry can be understood most simply by first focusing attention on the scalar-field sector of the dimensionally-reduced theory. The scalars are described by a non-linear sigma model, and in fact the cosets that arise are always symmetric spaces. The case of reduction to three dimensions is in many ways the most elegant, because all of the bosonic fields in the theory (aside from the metric) are now scalars, and so one has just an $E_8/O(16)$ symmetric-space sigma model in a gravity background.

In view of the large E_8 symmetry that one finds after reduction to three dimensions, it is natural to push further and investigate the symmetries after further reduction to two dimensions, and even beyond. It turns out that the analysis for a reduction to two dimensions is considerably more complicated than the higher-dimensional ones. There are two striking new features that lead to this complexity. The first is that, unlike a reduction to $D \geq 3$ dimensions, one can no longer use a reduction scheme in which the metric is reduced from an Einstein-frame metric in the higher dimension to an Einstein-frame metric in the lower dimension. (In the Einstein conformal frame, the Lagrangian for gravity itself takes the form $\mathcal{L} \sim \sqrt{-g}R$, with no scalar conformal factor.) The inability to reach the Einstein conformal frame in two dimensions is intimately connected to the fact that $\sqrt{-g}R$ is a conformal invariant in two dimensions.

The second striking new feature is that an axionic scalar field (*i.e.* a scalar appearing everywhere covered by a derivative) can be dualised to give another axionic scalar field in the special case of two dimensions. This has the remarkable consequence that the global symmetry group actually becomes infinite in dimension. This was seen long ago by Geroch, in the context of four-dimensional gravity reduced to two. There are degrees of freedom in two dimensions that are described by the sigma model $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/O(2)$, and under dualisation this yields another $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/O(2)$ sigma model. Geroch showed that the two associated global $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetries do not commute, and that if one takes repeated commutators of the two sets of transformations, an infinite-dimensional algebra results [4]. The precise nature of this symmetry, now known as the *Geroch Group*, was not uncovered in [4].

It is of considerable interest, therefore, to study the general case of symmetric-space sigma model in a three-dimensional gravitational background, after performing a further circle reduction to two dimensions. (We shall use the acronym SSM to denote a symmetric-space sigma model.) One arrives at a system in two dimensions that comprises an SSM coupled to gravity, together with an additional scalar field which can be thought of as the Kaluza-Klein scalar for the reduction from three to two dimensions.

In a previous paper [5], we studied the global symmetries of the simpler situation where one has an SSM in a purely flat two-dimensional background. Our goal in the present paper is to extend our analysis to the full gravitationally-coupled system that arises when one reduces a gravity-coupled SSM from three to two dimensions.

There is quite a considerable earlier literature on the subject of the infinite dimensional symmetries of two-dimensional symmetric-space sigma models, both in the flat and the gravity-coupled cases (see, for example, [6–18], some of which considers also principal chiral models). In our previous work on the flat-space SSM case in [5], we found the work of Schwarz in [19] to be notably accessible, and we took that as our starting point. Starting from a two-dimensional flat-space SSM based on the coset $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$, Schwarz gave an explicit construction of symmetry transformations whose commutators generated a certain subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_H$ of the affine Kac-Moody extension $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}$. He also constructed additional symmetry transformations that formed a subalgebra of the centreless Virasoro algebra. We were then able to extend Schwarz’s results, and obtain explicit results exhibiting the full $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ Kac-Moody symmetry.

In the present paper, we again take as our starting point the work of Schwarz, who had extended his flat-space analysis to the gravitationally coupled case in [20]. We develop Schwarz’s construction by first improving on the removal of certain singularities in the transformation rules, and then in addition we are able again to extend Schwarz’s construction of explicit $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_H$ transformations to the full set of transformations for the entire Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$. Schwarz did not succeed in generalising his construction of Virasoro-type transformations to the gravitationally-coupled case. We succeed in doing this too. An essential feature now is that the Kaluza-Klein scalar coming from the descent from three dimensions transforms under the Virasoro-type symmetries, even though it is inert under the Kac-Moody transformations.

We also discuss, in an appendix, how one can take a decoupling limit of our results, to recover our earlier results in [5] on the infinite-dimensional symmetries of two-dimensional sigma models in the absence of gravity.

2 Lax Equation and Infinite-Dimensional Symmetries

2.1 Reduction from three dimensions

We take as our starting point a symmetric-space non-linear sigma model defined on the coset manifold $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$, and coupled to gravity in three spacetime dimensions. The commutation relations for the corresponding generators of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ take the form

$$[\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}] = \mathcal{H}, \quad [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}] = \mathcal{K}, \quad [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}] = \mathcal{H}. \quad (2.1)$$

The condition that $\mathbf{K}$ is a symmetric space is reflected in the absence of $\mathcal{K}$ generators on the right-hand side of the last commutation relation. The symmetric-space algebra implies that there is an involution $\sharp$ under which

$$\mathcal{K}^\sharp = \mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{H}^\sharp = -\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.2)$$

In many cases, such as when $\mathbf{G} = SL(n, \mathbb{R})/O(n)$, the involution map is given by Hermitean conjugation,

$$\mathcal{K}^\dagger = \mathcal{K}, \quad \mathcal{H}^\dagger = -\mathcal{H}. \quad (2.3)$$

In some cases, such as $\mathbf{G} = E_{(8,8)}$, $\mathcal{H} = O(16)$, the involution $\sharp$ is more involved.

The fields of the sigma model $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ may be parameterised by a coset representative $\mathcal{V}$, in terms of which we may define

$$M = \mathcal{V}^\sharp \mathcal{V}, \quad A = M^{-1} dM. \quad (2.4)$$

Under transformations

$$\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow h \mathcal{V} g, \quad (2.5)$$

where g is a global element in the group $\mathbf{G}$ and h is a local element in the denominator subgroup $\mathbf{H}$, we have shall have

$$M \longrightarrow g^\sharp M g, \quad A \longrightarrow g^{-1} A g, \quad (2.6)$$

since it follows from $\mathcal{H}^\sharp = -\mathcal{H}$ that $h^\sharp = h^{-1}$. Henceforth, we shall consider for simplicity cases where the involution $\sharp$ is just Hermitean conjugation. For the general case, all occurrences of $\dagger$ should be replaced by $\sharp$.

The Cartan-Maurer equation $d(M^{-1} dM) = -(M^{-1} dM) \wedge (M^{-1} dM)$ implies that the field strength for A vanishes:

$$F \equiv dA + A \wedge A = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The Lagrangian for the three-dimensional model may be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \sqrt{-\hat{g}} \left(\hat{R} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{g}^{MN} \text{tr}(A_M A_N) \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where $\hat{g}_{MN}$ is the three-dimensional spacetime metric tensor. The sigma-model equations of motion are therefore given by

$$\hat{\nabla}^M A_M = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

We now assume that the metric and sigma-model fields are all independent of one of the coordinates, which we shall denote by z . We may reduce the metric according to the Kaluza-Klein ansatz

$$d\hat{s}_3^2 = e^\psi ds_2^2 + \rho^2 dz^2. \quad (2.10)$$

Note that the two-dimensional field ψ is redundant, in the sense that it could be absorbed into a conformal rescaling of the two-dimensional metric ds_2^2 . However, it is useful to retain it since it will allow us later to take ds_2^2 to be just the Minkowski metric. It is straightforward to see that the three-dimensional Lagrangian (2.8) reduces to give

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \sqrt{-g} \rho \left(R - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(A_\mu A_\nu) + \rho^{-1} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \rho \partial_\nu \psi \right), \quad (2.11)$$

(after an integration by parts).

The equations of motion that follow from varying $\mathcal{V}$, ψ , ρ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ are, respectively,

$$\nabla^\mu (\rho A_\mu) = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\square \rho = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\square \psi = R - \frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(A_\mu A_\nu), \quad (2.14)$$

$$0 = \partial_\mu \rho \partial_\nu \psi + \partial_\nu \rho \partial_\mu \psi - g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\sigma \rho \partial^\sigma \psi - 2 \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu \rho - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A_\mu A_\nu - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(A_\sigma A^\sigma)), \quad (2.15)$$

(after using (2.13) to simplify (2.15)).

Using general coordinate transformations, any two-dimensional metric can be written, locally, as a conformal factor times the Minkowski metric. Thus we may now take ds_2^2 to be the Minkowski metric, with e^ψ as the required conformal factor. It is convenient, furthermore, to introduce light-cone coordinates $x^\pm$, so that we have $ds_2^2 = 2dx^+ dx^-$. Note that the $++$ and $--$ components of the Einstein equation (2.15) can be used to solve for ψ , since it gives

$$(\partial_+ \rho) \partial_+ \psi = \partial_+^2 \rho + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(A_+^2), \quad (\partial_- \rho) \partial_- \psi = \partial_-^2 \rho + \frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(A_-^2). \quad (2.16)$$

Equation (2.14) can now be seen to be a consequence of (2.12), (2.13), (2.16) and (2.7), so the two-dimensional equations reduce to solving

$$d(\rho * A) = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

$$dA + A \wedge A = 0, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\square \rho = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

with ψ then being found using (2.16).

2.2 The Lax equation

The use of the Lax equation in this context was discussed in [6], and in a somewhat different, but related way, in [17]. We shall begin by following Schwarz's discussion of the Lax-pair formulation in [20], except that we prefer to use differential forms where possible, rather than light-cone coordinates. The equations (2.17) and (2.18) can both be derived from the integrability condition for a solution X of the Lax equation

$$\tau(d + A)X = *dX. \quad (2.20)$$

By taking the appropriate linear combination of this and its dual, we obtain¹

$$dX X^{-1} = \frac{\tau}{1 - \tau^2} *A + \frac{\tau^2}{1 - \tau^2} A. \quad (2.21)$$

Unlike the flat-space case where the spectral parameter τ is a constant, here it must be allowed to have a specific dependence on the two-dimensional spacetime coordinates. It is convenient in what follows to parameterise τ in terms of θ according to

$$\tau = \tanh \frac{1}{2}\theta, \quad (2.22)$$

which implies we shall also have

$$s \equiv \sinh \theta = \frac{2\tau}{1 - \tau^2}, \quad c \equiv \cosh \theta = \frac{1 + \tau^2}{1 - \tau^2}. \quad (2.23)$$

In fact, one finds that the x^μ dependence of τ should occur through the function $\rho(x^\mu)$, as follows:

$$d\tau = \frac{\tau}{\rho} (cd\rho + s*d\rho). \quad (2.24)$$

¹Note that $*^2 = +1$ when acting on 1-forms in signature $(1, 1)$ spacetimes. A summary of some further useful properties of forms in two dimensions can be found in [5].

Equation (2.22) then implies

$$d\theta = \frac{s}{\rho} (cd\rho + s*d\rho). \quad (2.25)$$

With these preliminaries, it is now an elementary calculation to see that from (2.21) that the Cartan-Maurer equation $d(dXX^{-1}) = (dXX^{-1}) \wedge (dXX^{-1})$ implies

$$\tau(dA + A \wedge A) + \frac{1}{\rho} d(\rho*A) = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

from which the Bianchi identity (2.18) and the equation of motion (2.17) indeed follow.

A simple calculation also shows that the integrability condition that follows by taking the exterior derivative of (2.25) implies

$$d*d\rho = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

This is indeed the correct equation of motion (2.19) for ρ . If we now introduce light-cone coordinates for the two-dimensional spacetime, and work in the gauge where the metric is flat, $ds^2 = 2dx^+dx^-$, then on any function f we shall have

$$df = \partial_+ f dx^+ + \partial_- f dx^-, \quad *df = \partial_+ f dx^+ - \partial_- f dx^-. \quad (2.28)$$

Thus (2.27) becomes $\partial_+ \partial_- \rho = 0$, with the general solution

$$\rho = \rho_+(x^+) + \rho_-(x^-). \quad (2.29)$$

The equation (2.25) that governs the x^μ dependence of θ becomes, using the light-cone coordinates,

$$\partial_+ \theta = \frac{1}{2\rho} (e^{2\theta} - 1) \partial_+ \rho, \quad \partial_- \theta = \frac{1}{2\rho} (1 - e^{-2\theta}) \partial_- \rho. \quad (2.30)$$

These equations may be integrated to give

$$1 - e^{-2\theta} = \rho f_-(x^-), \quad e^{2\theta} - 1 = \rho f_+(x^+), \quad (2.31)$$

where $f_\pm$ are arbitrary functions of their respective arguments. Eliminating θ between the two expressions implies

$$\rho = \frac{1}{f_-(x^-)} - \frac{1}{f_+(x^+)}. \quad (2.32)$$

Comparing with (2.29), we can write

$$\frac{1}{f_-(x^-)} = \rho_-(x^-) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}, \quad \frac{1}{f_+(x^+)} = -\rho_+(x^+) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}, \quad (2.33)$$

where λ is a constant. From (2.29) and (2.31), the solution for θ is given by

$$e^{2\theta} = \frac{1 + 2\lambda\rho_-(x^-)}{1 - 2\lambda\rho_+(x^+)} . \quad (2.34)$$

It then follows from (2.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &= \frac{1}{\lambda\rho} \left[1 - \lambda(\rho_+ - \rho_-) - \sqrt{(1 + 2\lambda\rho_-)(1 - 2\lambda\rho_+)} \right] , \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\lambda\rho + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\rho(\rho_+ - \rho_-) + \frac{1}{8}\lambda^3\rho[\rho^2 + 4(\rho_+ - \rho_-)^2] + \cdots . \end{aligned} \quad (2.35)$$

Note that we have chosen the negative sign in front of the square root, so that τ is analytic in λ in the neighbourhood of $\lambda = 0$. Furthermore, $\tau = 0$ when $\lambda = 0$.

In what follows, the constant parameter λ will play the rôle of the spectral parameter for the Lax equation. The solution X of the Lax equation (2.20) depends on the spectral function τ , and since τ is given by (2.35) it follows that we may characterise X by the value of the arbitrary constant spectral parameter λ . Thus when we consider a solution X of the Lax equation, we shall denote it by $X(\lambda)$. (Of course, X also depends on the two-dimensional spacetime coordinates x^μ , but we shall suppress the explicit indication of this dependence.) We shall choose the solution so that $X(0) = 1$; this is clearly consistent with the Lax equation (2.21), since τ vanishes at $\lambda = 0$.

3 The Kac-Moody Symmetries

3.1 The Kac-Moody transformations

The symmetries of the two-dimensional system are described by field transformations that preserve the equations of motion (2.17) and (2.19). The full set of symmetries will involve transformations of the coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ that are expressed using the solution X of the Lax equation (2.20). It is therefore necessary also to find how X itself transforms; this is determined by requiring in addition that the Lax equation (2.20) be left invariant.

We begin, following [19, 20], by constructing transformations of $\mathcal{V}$. These are of the form

$$\delta\mathcal{V} = w\mathcal{V}\eta + \delta h\mathcal{V}, \quad \eta \equiv X(\lambda)\epsilon X(\lambda)^{-1}, \quad (3.1)$$

where ϵ an infinitesimal constant parameter taking values in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, and δh is a λ -dependent and field-dependent compensating transformation in $\mathcal{H}$ that restores the original gauge. The quantity w is a function that is a singlet under the Lie-algebra, and

will be determined shortly. The meaning of (3.1) is as follows. The coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ itself is, of course, independent of the spectral parameter λ . The transformation δ is λ -dependent, and in fact we expand it as a power series in λ :

$$\delta(\epsilon, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n \delta_{(n)}(\epsilon). \quad (3.2)$$

By equating coefficients of each power of λ in the Taylor expansions of the two sides of equation (3.1), one therefore obtains a hierarchy of transformations $\delta_{(n)}(\epsilon)\mathcal{V}$. One can take independent ϵ parameters for each n . The transformations at the $n = 0$ order are just the original infinitesimal global $\mathcal{G}$ symmetries.

In the flat-space case discussed in [5, 19] w is spacetime-independent, and one can take $w = 1$, but in the gravity-coupled situation we are considering in this paper, one must choose a very specific x^μ -dependence for w in order to ensure that (3.1) describes a symmetry of the equation of motion $d(\rho * A) = 0$. The calculation is performed by first noting from (2.4) that (3.1) implies

$$\delta M = w M \eta + w \eta^\dagger M, \quad \delta A = D(w \eta) + D(w M^{-1} \eta^\dagger M), \quad (3.3)$$

where we define $Df \equiv df + [A, f]$ on any $\mathcal{G}$ -valued function f . Note that ρ will be inert under the Kac-Moody transformations.

Use of the Lax equation (2.20) shows that

$$D\eta = \frac{1}{\tau} * d\eta, \quad D(M^{-1} \eta^\dagger M) = \tau * d(M^{-1} \eta^\dagger M), \quad (3.4)$$

as in the flat-space case [5]. From this, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(\rho * \delta A) &= \left[d\left(\frac{\rho w}{\tau}\right) - \rho * dw \right] \wedge d\eta + [d(\rho w \tau) - \rho * dw] \wedge d(M^{-1} \eta^\dagger M) \\ &\quad + d(\rho * dw) (\eta + M^{-1} \eta^\dagger M). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and so $d(\rho * \delta A)$ will vanish (and thus the equation of motion (2.17) will be preserved by the transformations) if [20]

$$\rho * dw = d\left(\frac{\rho w}{\tau}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \rho * dw = d(\rho w \tau). \quad (3.6)$$

Using (2.25) one sees that this can be achieved, by taking w to be a constant multiple of s/ρ . We shall take $w = s/(\lambda\rho)$, and thus the transformations (3.1) we shall consider are given by

$$\delta \mathcal{V} = \frac{s}{\lambda\rho} \mathcal{V} \eta + \delta h \mathcal{V}, \quad \eta \equiv X(\lambda) \epsilon X(\lambda)^{-1}. \quad (3.7)$$

(The reason for choosing to divide out by the constant parameter λ is that then, as can be seen from (2.23) and (2.35), the prefactor $w = s/(\lambda\rho)$ approaches 1 as λ goes to zero.)

We now need to consider the transformations of X . These are determined by the requirement that the Lax equation must be preserved, with A transforming as in (3.3). We shall need to know how $X(\lambda)$ with spectral parameter λ_2 transforms under variations $\delta(\epsilon, \lambda)$ with an independent choice of spectral parameter λ_1 . We denote $X(\lambda_2)$ by X_2 , and $\delta(\epsilon_1, \lambda_1)$ by δ_1 . From (2.21) we therefore have

$$\delta_1(dX_2X_2^{-1}) = \frac{\tau_2}{1-\tau_2^2} * \delta_1 A + \frac{\tau_2^2}{1-\tau_2^2} \delta_1 A. \quad (3.8)$$

(τ_2 means the solution for τ given in (2.35) with the spectral parameter λ taken to be λ_2 .)

Let us write the transformation of X as $\delta_1 X_2 = U X_2$. It follows that $\delta_1(dX_2X_2^{-1}) = dU + [U, dX_2X_2^{-1}]$, and so from (3.8) we have

$$dU + [U, dX_2X_2^{-1}] = \frac{\tau_2}{1-\tau_2^2} * \delta_1 A + \frac{\tau_2^2}{1-\tau_2^2} \delta_1 A. \quad (3.9)$$

We can write the solution for U as the sum

$$U = U^{\text{hom}} + U^{\text{inhom}}, \quad (3.10)$$

where U^{hom} is the solution of the homogeneous equation with the right-hand side of (3.9) set to zero, while U^{inhom} solves the inhomogeneous equation with the variations of A on the right-hand side providing the source.

It is easily seen that the homogeneous equation is solved by

$$U^{\text{hom}} = X_2 \epsilon_1 X_2^{-1}. \quad (3.11)$$

For the inhomogeneous equation, we make the ansatz

$$U^{\text{inhom}} = u \eta_1 + v M^{-1} \eta_1^\dagger M, \quad (3.12)$$

where u and v are $\mathcal{G}$ -singlet functions to be determined. Note that $\eta_1 \equiv X_1 \epsilon_1 X_1^{-1}$, with $X_1 \equiv X(\lambda_1)$, and that therefore $d\eta_1 = -[\eta_1, dX_1 X_1^{-1}]$. Substituting the variations of A , and the ansatz for $U = U^{\text{inhom}}$, into (3.9) one finds, after some straightforward although slightly elaborate algebra involving the use of the Lax equation (2.21), (2.24) and (2.25), that there is a solution with [20]

$$u = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)}, \quad v = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Here s_1 denotes $s = \sinh \theta = 2\tau/(1 - \tau^2)$ with τ given by (2.35) for $\lambda = \lambda_1$. A remarkable property, which is absolutely crucial in what follows, is that $d(u - v) = 0$ and hence

$$u - v = \text{constant} . \quad (3.14)$$

This can be verified using (2.24) and (2.25).

To summarise the results so far, we have shown that there exist symmetry transformations of X of the form

$$\delta_1^{\text{hom}} X_2 = X_2 \epsilon_1 , \quad (3.15)$$

$$\delta_1^{\text{inhom}} X_2 = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)} \eta_1 X_2 + \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)} M^{-1} \eta_1^\dagger M X_2 . \quad (3.16)$$

The inhomogeneous transformations are accompanied by the transformations of $\mathcal{V}$, M and A given by (3.7) and (3.3), whilst the homogeneous transformations are completely independent, acting only on X and leaving $\mathcal{V}$, M and A invariant.

The complete set of global symmetry transformations of X will be read off by expanding the various δ_1 variations, and X_2 , as power series in λ_1 and λ_2 respectively, both around $\lambda_i = 0$. Since τ_i vanishes at $\lambda_i = 0$ (see (2.35)) this appears to present a difficulty for the inhomogeneous transformations (3.16), because of the pole associated with the denominator $(\tau_1 - \tau_2)$ in the first term. In the analogous treatment of the flat-space case (see [5, 19]) this was not a problem, because there τ_1 and τ_2 were themselves constant spectral parameters and so the pole could be subtracted by including an appropriate constant multiple of the homogeneous transformation. Here, we cannot simply subtract the analogous multiple of δ_1^{hom} with a prefactor of the form $(s_1/\lambda_1 \rho) \tau_2 (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-1}$, because this is not a constant, and so $(s_1/\lambda_1 \rho) \tau_2 (\tau_1 - \tau_2)^{-1} \delta_1^{\text{hom}} X_2$ is not a symmetry transformation. At this point the remarkable property (3.14) comes to the rescue. In fact, by substituting (2.22) and (2.34) into (3.13) we find that

$$\frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)} = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)} + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} . \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, we may rewrite (3.16) as

$$\delta_1^{\text{inhom}} X_2 = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)} (\eta_1 + M^{-1} \eta_1^\dagger M) X_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \eta_1 X_2 . \quad (3.18)$$

This shows that, despite the original appearance in (3.16), the pole at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ in the inhomogeneous transformation rule actually has a pure constant coefficient, and so by subtracting the homogeneous symmetry transformation $\lambda_2/(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \delta_1^{\text{hom}}$ we can easily remove

the pole.²

With these points understood, we can now present the full set of global symmetry transformations of the two-dimensional system in the final form that we shall use in what follows. We shall denote them by δ_1 and $\tilde{\delta}_1$, and their actions on the original sigma model fields in $\mathcal{V}$ (and hence on M), and on the fields in $X(\lambda)$, are as follows:

$$\delta_1 \mathcal{V} = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \mathcal{V} \eta_1 + \delta h \mathcal{V}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\delta_1 M = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} (M \eta_1 + \eta_1^\dagger M), \quad (3.20)$$

$$\delta_1 X_2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (\eta_1 X_2 - X_2 \epsilon_1) + \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{1 - \tau_1 \tau_2} (\eta_1 + M^{-1} \eta_1^\dagger M) X_2, \quad (3.21)$$

where $\eta_1 \equiv X_1 \epsilon_1 X_1^{-1}$, and

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 \mathcal{V} = 0, \quad (3.22)$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 M = 0, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 X_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2} X_2 \epsilon_1. \quad (3.24)$$

The δ transformations are the inhomogeneous transformations we discussed above, with the subtraction of the necessary homogeneous term in $\delta_1 X_2$ to ensure analyticity when λ_1 approaches λ_2 . The $\tilde{\delta}$ transformations are independent and purely homogeneous, thus leaving $\mathcal{V}$ and M invariant. The inclusion of the specific λ_i -dependent prefactor in (3.24) is purely for convenience; it ensures that the final algebra obtained by calculating the commutators of the transformations arises in a simple and conventional basis.

It is evident from the transformations above that the expansions of the variations δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ will be of the forms

$$\delta(\epsilon, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n \delta_{(n)}(\epsilon), \quad \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon, \lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda^n \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}(\epsilon). \quad (3.25)$$

There is an independent $\mathcal{G}$ -valued infinitesimal parameter for each n in $\delta_{(n)}(\epsilon)$, and for each n in $\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}(\epsilon)$.

²In Schwarz's discussion in [20], the important point that one must remove the $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ singularity in $\delta_1^{\text{inhom}} X_2$, and furthermore that this can actually be done, for all spacetime points x^μ simultaneously, appears to have been unnoticed. In fact Schwarz instead made a subtraction such that $\delta_1^{\text{inhom}} X_2$ vanished at a preferred point x_0^μ in the two-dimensional spacetime. However, this subtraction does not in fact cancel the singularity at $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ for other points in the spacetime. The absence of the cancellation did not show up in Schwarz's subsequent calculation of the commutator $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$ because he evaluated it only on $\mathcal{V}$ (which is inert under the homogeneous variation in question) and not on X_3 (which is not inert).

3.2 The Kac-Moody algebra

Having obtained the explicit expressions (3.19)–(3.24) for the δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ transformations of the fields, it is now a mechanical exercise to calculate the commutators of these transformations. Specifically, we calculate the commutators $[\delta_1, \delta_2]$, $[\delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_2]$ and $[\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2]$ acting on M and on X_3 . After some algebra, we find

$$[\delta_1, \delta_2] = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \delta(\epsilon_{12}, \lambda_1) - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \delta(\epsilon_{12}, \lambda_2), \quad (3.26)$$

$$[\delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_2] = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \delta(\epsilon_{12}, \lambda_1) + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2} \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon_{12}, \lambda_2), \quad (3.27)$$

$$[\tilde{\delta}_1, \tilde{\delta}_2] = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon_{12}, \lambda_1) - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \tilde{\delta}(\epsilon_{12}, \lambda_2), \quad (3.28)$$

where $\epsilon_{12} \equiv [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$. Note that there are no poles at $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, because in (3.26) and (3.28) the numerator on the right-hand side has a zero that cancels the denominator there.

Using (3.25), expanding the expressions (3.26)–(3.28) in powers of λ_1 and λ_2 , and then equating the coefficients of each power, we can read off the algebra of the modes, finding

$$[\delta_{(m)}(\epsilon_1), \delta_{(n)}(\epsilon_2)] = \delta_{(m+n)}(\epsilon_{12}), \quad m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (3.29)$$

$$[\delta_{(m)}(\epsilon_1), \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}(\epsilon_2)] = \delta_{(m-n)}(\epsilon_{12}) + \tilde{\delta}_{(n-m)}(\epsilon_{12}), \quad m \geq 0, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.30)$$

$$[\tilde{\delta}_{(m)}(\epsilon_1), \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}(\epsilon_2)] = \tilde{\delta}_{(m+n)}(\epsilon_{12}), \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (3.31)$$

where in (3.30) it is to be understood that $\delta_{(n)} = 0$ for $n \leq -1$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{(n)} = 0$ for $n \leq 0$.

As in the flat-space case discussed in [5], these three sets of commutation relations can be combined into one by introducing a new set $\Delta_{(n)}$ of variations, defined for all n with $-\infty \leq n \leq \infty$, according to

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(n)} &= \delta_{(n)}, & n \geq 0, \\ \Delta_{(-n)} &= \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}, & n \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

It is then easily seen that (3.29), (3.30) and (3.31) become

$$[\Delta_{(m)}(\epsilon_1), \Delta_{(n)}(\epsilon_2)] = \Delta_{(m+n)}(\epsilon_{12}), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3.33)$$

with $\epsilon_{12} = [\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2]$. This defines the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$. If we associate generators J_n^i with the transformations $\Delta_{(n)}(\epsilon^i)$, where $\epsilon = \epsilon^i T^i$ and T^i are the generators of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ satisfying $[T^i, T^j] = f^{ij}_k T^k$, then (3.33) implies

$$[J_m^i, J_n^j] = f^{ij}_k J_{m+n}^k. \quad (3.34)$$

4 Virasoro-Like Symmetries

4.1 Virasoro-like transformations

In addition to the Kac-Moody symmetries that we discussed in section 3, which are the affine extension of the original $\mathcal{G}$ global symmetry of the sigma model, there is also a further infinite-dimensional symmetry that is a singlet under $\mathcal{G}$ [17, 21, 22]. This is related to the Virasoro algebra. It was discussed in detail for sigma models in flat two-dimensional spacetime in [19], but the generalisation to the gravity-coupled case was not found in [20]. Here, we use the methods developed in [19, 20], and extend them to obtain explicit Virasoro-like transformations in the gravity-coupled sigma models.

The action of the Virasoro-like transformations on the coset representative $\mathcal{V}$ will be taken to be³

$$\delta^V \mathcal{V} = h \mathcal{V} \xi, \quad \xi \equiv \dot{X} X^{-1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where h is a $\mathcal{G}$ -singlet function to be determined, and $\dot{X}$ means $dX(\lambda)/d\lambda$. From this it follows that

$$\delta^V A = D(h(\xi + M^{-1} \xi^\dagger M)). \quad (4.2)$$

We can show from (2.34) that

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{s^2}{\lambda^2 \rho}, \quad \dot{\tau} = \frac{s\tau}{\lambda^2 \rho}. \quad (4.3)$$

By taking the λ derivative of the Lax equation (2.21), we can then show after a little algebra that

$$D\xi = \frac{1}{\tau} *d\xi - \frac{s^2}{2\lambda^2 \rho \tau} (\tau *A + A), \quad D(M^{-1} \xi^\dagger M) = \tau *d(M^{-1} \xi^\dagger M) + \frac{s^2}{2\lambda^2 \rho} (*A + \tau A), \quad (4.4)$$

and hence that

$$D(\xi + M^{-1} \xi^\dagger M) = \frac{1}{\tau} *d\xi + \tau *d(M^{-1} \xi^\dagger M) - \frac{s}{\lambda^2 \rho} A. \quad (4.5)$$

We now examine the variation of the equation of motion for A , namely

$$\delta^V (d(\rho *A)) = d((\delta^V \rho) *A + \rho * \delta^V A) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

³We should really include an infinitesimal parameter as a prefactor in the definition of ξ in equation (4.1). However, since it is a singlet it plays no significant rôle, and so it may be omitted without any risk of ambiguity.

Note that unlike the Kac-Moody transformations, which leave ρ invariant, here we shall find that the Virasoro-like transformations must act also on ρ . Substituting (4.2) into (4.6), and using (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta^V(d(\rho * A)) &= \left[d\left(\frac{\rho h}{\tau}\right) - \rho * dh \right] \wedge d\xi + [d(\rho h \tau) - \rho * dh] \wedge d(M^{-1}\xi^\dagger M) \\ &\quad + d(\rho * dh)[\xi + M^{-1}\xi^\dagger M] - d\left(\frac{hs}{\lambda^2} * A\right) + d(\delta^V \rho * A).\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

This leads us to require h to satisfy

$$d\left(\frac{\rho h}{\tau}\right) = d(\rho h \tau) = \rho * dh, \quad (4.8)$$

which has as solution

$$h = -\frac{s}{\rho}, \quad (4.9)$$

(the constant factor is arbitrary, and we take it to be -1 for later convenience). Equation (4.7) now reduces to

$$\delta^V(d(\rho * A)) = d\left(\frac{s^2}{\lambda^2 \rho} * A\right) + d(\delta^V \rho * A), \quad (4.10)$$

which, in view of the fact that $d(\rho * A) = 0$, vanishes if we take

$$\delta^V \rho = -\frac{s^2}{\lambda^2 \rho} + \alpha \rho, \quad (4.11)$$

where α is an arbitrary constant parameter. However, since by its definition δ^V acting on $\mathcal{V}$ has no λ^0 term in its Taylor expansion, we may choose α so as to remove the λ^0 term in (4.11). (We shall return to discussing the extra symmetry associated with α later.) This means setting

$$\alpha = 1. \quad (4.12)$$

Since ρ satisfies the free wave equation (2.13), we must also check that its variation (4.11) is consistent with this. This is easily done, by substituting (2.34) into (4.11), giving

$$\delta^V \rho = -\frac{2\lambda\rho_+^2}{1-2\lambda\rho_+} + \frac{2\lambda\rho_-^2}{1+2\lambda\rho_-}. \quad (4.13)$$

Since this is manifestly the sum of a function of $\rho_+(x^+)$ and a function of $\rho_-(x^-)$, it clearly satisfies $\partial_+ \partial_- (\delta^V \rho) = 0$. In fact we can read off from (4.13) that

$$\delta^V \rho_+ = -\frac{2\lambda\rho_+^2}{1-2\lambda\rho_+} + \beta, \quad \delta^V \rho_- = \frac{2\lambda\rho_-^2}{1+2\lambda\rho_-} - \beta, \quad (4.14)$$

where β is another arbitrary constant parameter. Again, like the previous discussion which implied we could take $\alpha = 1$, here too we could require that there be no λ^0 term in the expansion, and this constrains β also, to

$$\beta = 0. \quad (4.15)$$

We shall discuss the extra symmetry associated with a non-zero β below.

It is useful also to record the expressions for $\delta_1^V \theta_2$ and $\delta_1^V \tau_2$, for which we find

$$\delta_1^V \theta_2 = -\frac{2\tau_1\tau_2}{\rho^2(\tau_1 - \tau_2)(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)} \left(\frac{s_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{s_2^2}{\lambda_2^2} \right), \quad (4.16)$$

$$\delta_1^V \tau_2 = -\frac{\tau_1\tau_2(1 - \tau_2^2)}{\rho^2(\tau_1 - \tau_2)(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)} \left(\frac{s_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} - \frac{s_2^2}{\lambda_2^2} \right), \quad (4.17)$$

The next step is to calculate $\delta_1^V X_2$. This is done by requiring the invariance of the Lax equation (2.21) (for $\lambda = \lambda_2$) under the transformation δ_1^V , with $\delta_1^V A$ and $\delta_1^V \tau_2$ read off from (4.2) and (4.17). After some algebra, we find

$$\delta_1^V X_2 = -\frac{s_1}{\rho} \frac{\tau_1\tau_2}{(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)} (\xi_1 + M^{-1}\xi_1^\dagger M) X_2 - \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (\xi_1 - \xi_2) X_2. \quad (4.18)$$

4.2 Virasoro-like symmetries

We are now in a position to calculate the commutator $[\delta_1^V, \delta_2^V]$. We have evaluated this on all the fields, namely $\mathcal{V}$, ρ and X . We find that the abstract algebra turns out to be

$$[\delta_1^V, \delta_2^V] = \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} (\delta_1^V + \delta_2^V) - \frac{2\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (\delta_1^V - \delta_2^V). \quad (4.19)$$

Making the mode expansion

$$\delta^V(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda^n \delta_{(n)}^V, \quad (4.20)$$

we find upon substituting into (4.19) that the modes satisfy the commutation relations

$$[\delta_{(m)}^V, \delta_{(n)}^V] = (m - n) \delta_{(m+n)}^V, \quad m \geq 1 \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.21)$$

As in the flat-space case we have obtained “half” the Virasoro algebra. It is interesting that here we obtain precisely the upper half of a standard Virasoro algebra of the L_n , whereas

in the flat-space case we found generators $K_n = L_n - L_{-n}$. The difference between the two results from the use of a different spectral parameterisation. (See appendix A for a discussion of how the flat-space limit of our gravity-coupled construction here is related to the previous flat-space construction in [5].)

We now return to the additional symmetries associated with the parameter α in (4.11) and β in (4.14). It will be recalled that we “fixed” these symmetries by requiring the absence of λ^0 terms in $\delta^V \rho$ and in $\delta^V \rho_{\pm}$, on the grounds that the original Virasoro-like transformations $\delta^V \mathcal{V}$ had contributions only for strictly positive powers of λ . However, we can interpret the transformations parameterised by α and β as additional symmetries of the system that act non-trivially on ρ , but which happens to leave $\mathcal{V}$ inert. In fact it is natural to denote the α symmetry by $\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V$ and the β symmetry by $\hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V$, since we find that they are the natural continuation of the Virasoro transformations $\delta_{(n)}^V$ in (4.21) to include the $n = 0$ and $n = -1$ terms.

In detail, we find that the action of the $\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V$ and $\hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V$ transformations are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V \rho_+ &= -\rho_+, & \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V \rho_- &= -\rho_-, & \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V X &= -\lambda X(\lambda), & \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V \mathcal{V} &= 0, \\ \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V \rho_+ &= -\frac{1}{2}, & \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V \rho_- &= \frac{1}{2}, & \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V X &= -\lambda^2 X(\lambda), & \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V \mathcal{V} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

It is also useful to record that

$$\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V \rho = -\rho, \quad \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V \tau = -\lambda \dot{\tau}; \quad \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V \rho = 0, \quad \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V \tau = -\lambda^2 \dot{\tau}. \quad (4.23)$$

It is a straightforward matter to calculate the commutators of $\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V$ and $\hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V$ with each other, and with the previously-defined Virasoro-type transformations appearing in (4.20). We first define a total extended set of transformations $\hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V$ with $n \geq -1$, according to

$$\hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V = \delta_{(n)}^V, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.24)$$

where $\delta_{(n)}^V$ is defined in (4.20), and with $\hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V$ for $n = 0$ and $n = -1$ as in (4.22). We find that these satisfy precisely the same algebra as in (4.21), now with the index range extended appropriately, *viz.*

$$[\hat{\delta}_{(m)}^V, \hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V] = (m - n) \hat{\delta}_{(m+n)}^V, \quad m \geq -1, \quad n \geq -1. \quad (4.25)$$

Thus we may associate standard Virasoro generators L_n with $\hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V$, and we obtain the Virasoro subalgebra generated by L_n with $n \geq -1$:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n) L_{m+n}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.26)$$

It is natural to introduce an extended Virasoro-like transformation $\hat{\delta}^V$ constructed from the extended mode set $\hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V$, by analogy with (4.20). Thus we may define

$$\hat{\delta}^V(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq -1} \lambda^n \hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V = \delta^V(\lambda) + \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V + \frac{1}{\lambda} \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V. \quad (4.27)$$

Acting, for example, on ρ_+ , we find from (4.14) (with $\beta = 0$) and (4.22) that

$$\hat{\delta}^V \rho_+ = -\frac{2\lambda\rho_+}{1-2\lambda\rho_+} - \rho_+ - \frac{1}{2\lambda} = -\frac{1}{2\lambda(1-2\lambda\rho_+)}. \quad (4.28)$$

The $\hat{\delta}^V$ variations of ρ_- , ρ and X can all easily be worked out in a similar way from our previous results. ($\mathcal{V}$, as we noted already, is inert under the extra terms $\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V$ and $\hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V$.) In summary, the extended transformations $\hat{\delta}^V$ act on all the fields as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\delta}^V \rho_+ &= -\frac{1}{2\lambda(1-2\lambda\rho_+)}, & \hat{\delta}^V \rho_- &= \frac{1}{2\lambda(1+2\lambda\rho_-)}, & \hat{\delta}^V \rho &= -\frac{s^2}{\lambda^2\rho}, \\ \hat{\delta}_1^V X_2 &= -\frac{s_1}{\rho} \frac{\tau_1\tau_2}{(1-\tau_1\tau_2)} (\xi_1 + M^{-1}\xi_1^\dagger M) X_2 - \frac{\lambda_2/\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} (\lambda_1^2 \xi_1 - \lambda_2^2 \xi_2), \\ \hat{\delta}^V \mathcal{V} &= -\frac{s}{\rho} \mathcal{V} \xi. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

4.3 Commutators of Virasoro and Kac-Moody transformations

Having obtained the Kac-Moody transformations in section 3, and the “half” Virasoro transformations in section 4.1, we may now compute also the mixed commutators between these two sets of symmetry transformations. The calculations are entirely mechanical, although in some cases somewhat involved, and we shall just present our final results.

For the Virasoro-like transformations δ^V defined in (4.1), (4.11) and (4.18), we find that their commutation relations with the Kac-Moody transformations δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ defined in (3.19)–(3.24) are given by

$$[\delta_1^V, \delta_2] = \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)} \dot{\delta}(\lambda_2, \epsilon_2) + \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} (\delta(\lambda_2, \epsilon_2) - \delta(\lambda_1, \epsilon_2)), \quad (4.30)$$

$$[\delta_1^V, \tilde{\delta}_2] = \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2^2}{(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)} \dot{\tilde{\delta}}(\lambda_2, \epsilon_2) + \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^2} \tilde{\delta}(\lambda_2, \epsilon_2) + \frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2)^2} \delta(\lambda_1, \epsilon_2). \quad (4.31)$$

(We have calculated these commutators acting on all the fields, namely $\mathcal{V}$, X and ρ .) Substituting the expansions (3.25) and (4.21) into these expressions, and equating the coefficients

of each power of λ_1 and λ_2 , we can read off the commutation relations

$$[\delta_{(m)}^V, \delta_{(n)}] = -n\delta_{(n+m)}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (4.32)$$

$$[\delta_{(m)}^V, \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}] = n\tilde{\delta}_{(n-m)} + n\delta_{(m-n)}, \quad m \geq 1, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.33)$$

where in (4.33) it is understood that $\delta_{(m-n)}$ is zero if $n > m$ and that $\tilde{\delta}_{(n-m)}$ is zero if $n \leq m$. The commutation relations (4.32) and (4.33) can be unified into one formula if we defined the full set of Kac-Moody modes $\Delta_{(n)}$ as in (3.32). We then find

$$[\delta_{(m)}^V, \Delta_{(n)}] = -n\Delta_{(n+m)}, \quad (4.34)$$

with $m \geq 1$ and $-\infty \leq n \leq \infty$.

With our previous associations in which $\Delta_{(n)}$ corresponds to the Kac-Moody generators J_n^i , and $\delta_{(m)}^V$ corresponds to the Virasoro generator L_m with $m \geq 1$, we therefore have

$$[L_m, J_n^i] = -nJ_{n+m}^i. \quad (4.35)$$

Note that it is because $\delta_{(m)}^V$ is associated with the positive half of the Virasoro algebra, and thus it can only *increase* the Kac-Moody level number in (4.35), that (4.30) has only δ Kac-Moody transformations on the right-hand side, whereas (4.31) has both δ and $\tilde{\delta}$ Kac-Moody transformations.

We can also extend the Virasoro-like transformations from δ^V to $\hat{\delta}^V$ in these mixed commutator calculations. The necessary calculations are just a simple extension of those already presented, and the upshot is that one merely has to extend the range of the m index in (4.34) and (4.35) down to $m \geq -1$ when δ^V is replaced with $\hat{\delta}^V$.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have extended our previous work on the symmetries of two-dimensional symmetric-space sigma models, by now considering the case where the sigma model is coupled to gravity. These gravity-coupled sigma models arise in the toroidal reduction of gravity and supergravity theories from higher dimensions. There has been some considerable discussion of the Kac-Moody symmetries of these models in earlier literature, and we took the paper [20] by Schwarz as the starting point for our construction.

We were able to improve on the construction of Kac-Moody transformations in [20] in several important respects. Firstly, we gave a proper treatment of the use of the “homogeneous” Kac-Moody transformations (3.15) to subtract out the pole in the “inhomogeneous”

transformations (3.16) that would otherwise occur at $\tau_1 = \tau_2$. The fact that this can be done simultaneously at all points x^μ in the two-dimensional spacetime depends upon the quite remarkable identity (3.17).

Secondly, we were able to obtain transformations for the full affine Kac-Moody extension $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ of the manifest symmetry algebra $\mathcal{G}$ of the coset $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}$. This result extends the one obtained in [20], where only a certain subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_H$ of symmetries was found.

We also constructed further infinite-dimensional symmetry transformations of the SSM fields that are singlets under the original $\mathcal{G}$ Lie algebra. They had not been found in [20]. These symmetries correspond to a subalgebra of the centreless Virasoro algebra, corresponding to the generators L_n with $n \geq -1$. It would be of considerable interest to see if there exist further symmetries that could extend this subalgebra to the full Virasoro algebra.

Finally, we remark that all of our symmetry analyses have been restricted purely to the infinitesimal level. It would be very interesting to extend the methods used in this paper to the non-infinitesimal level. This would be of importance both from the perspective of understanding the U-duality symmetries of string theory, and in order to make use of the infinite-dimensional symmetries for the purpose of generating new solutions from old ones.

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to John Schwarz for discussions, and for drawing our attention to references [19, 20]. We thank also Hermann Nicolai for discussions. This research has been generously supported by George Mitchell and the Mitchell Family Foundation. The research of H.L. and C.N.P. is also supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG03-95ER40917.

A The Flat-Space Limit

A.1 Decoupling of gravity

In a previous paper [5], we studied the somewhat simpler problem of symmetric-space coset models in a purely flat two-dimensional spacetime. In that case, there is no conformal function ρ , and the equations (2.17) and (2.18) become simply

$$d*A = 0, \quad dA + A \wedge A = 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

It is evident, therefore, that we can recover the flat-space limit by taking

$$\rho = \text{constant}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and furthermore this is consistent with its equation of motion (2.19). In fact since in general ρ has the solution $\rho = \rho_+(x^+) + \rho_-(x^-)$, as in (2.29), we can conveniently parameterise a family of flat-space limits by

$$\rho_+ = 1 + \gamma, \quad \rho_- = 1 - \gamma, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where γ is a constant.

In the flat-space limit the spectral function τ appearing in the Lax equation (2.20) is a constant, which was denoted by t in [5]. From (2.22) and (2.34), we see that (A.3) implies

$$\lambda = \frac{t}{1 + 2\gamma t + t^2}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Thus the constant spectral parameter t used in [5] and the constant spectral parameter λ that we have been using in this paper are not identical in the flat-space limit. As a consequence, the expansions of the Kac-Moody and Virasoro transformations in mode sums as in (3.25) and (4.20) will take different forms depending on whether we use the t parameter or the λ parameter in the flat-space limit, and this amounts to a change of basis for the algebras.

In what follows, we shall illustrate how the bases for the Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras are related in the “symmetric” choice for the flat-space limit, where we set $\gamma = 0$ (and hence $\rho_+ = \rho_- = 1$). The calculation for a general choice of γ proceeds in a very similar manner. With the choice $\gamma = 0$ we have from (A.4) that

$$\lambda = \frac{t}{1 + t^2}, \quad t = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda^2}}{2\lambda}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

(We choose the negative root in the solution for t so that small λ corresponds to small t .)

A.2 Kac-Moody symmetries in the flat-space limit

First, let us consider the Kac-Moody transformations $\delta_1 X_2$ defined in (3.21). Using (3.13) and (3.17), we can first rewrite $\delta_1 X_2$ as

$$\delta_1 X_2 = \frac{s_1}{\lambda_1 \rho} \left[\frac{\tau_2}{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)} (\eta_1 X_2 - X_2 \epsilon_1 X_2^{-1}) + \frac{\tau_1 \tau_2}{(1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)} (M^{-1} \eta_1^\dagger M + X_2 \epsilon_1 X_2^{-1}) \right] X_2. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Taking the flat-space limit with $\rho = 2$, $\tau_i = t_i$ and λ_i related to t_i by (A.5), we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1^{\text{lim}} X_2 &= \frac{1 + t_1^2}{1 - t_1^2} \left[\frac{t_2}{(t_1 - t_2)} (\eta_1 X_2 - X_2 \epsilon_1 X_2^{-1}) + \frac{t_1 t_2}{(1 - t_1 t_2)} M^{-1} \eta_1^\dagger M \right] X_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1 + t_1^2}{1 - t_1^2} \frac{t_1 t_2}{(1 - t_1 t_2)} X_2 \epsilon_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

(The superscript “lim” denotes the flat-space limit of the general gravitationally-coupled transformations we have derived in this paper.) Comparing with the definitions of the Kac-Moody transformations that we used in the purely flat-space discussion in [5], and which we denote by δ_1^{flat} and $\tilde{\delta}_1^{\text{flat}}$ for this present discussion, we read off that

$$\delta_1^{\text{lim}} X_2 = \frac{1 + t_1^2}{1 - t_1^2} (\delta_1^{\text{flat}} + \tilde{\delta}_1^{\text{flat}}) X_2. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

By definition, the modes of δ_1^{lim} are read off from an expansion in powers of λ_1 , as in (3.25). Also, by definition, the modes of δ_1^{flat} and $\tilde{\delta}_1^{\text{flat}}$ that we used in the flat-space situation in [5] were expanded in powers of t_1 . Thus we have only to substitute the expansions into (A.8) to obtain

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda_1^n \delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} = \frac{1 + t_1^2}{1 - t_1^2} \left(\delta_{(0)}^{\text{flat}} + \sum_{n \geq 1} t_1^n (\delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}} + \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}) \right). \quad (\text{A.9})$$

We now use (A.5) to express t_1 in terms of λ_1 on the right-hand side, and equate coefficients of each power of λ_1 . It is convenient to recall from [5] that, just as we did for the gravity-coupled case in this paper in (3.32), the full set of Kac-Moody transformations $\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}$ were defined from the non-negative modes $\delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}$ and the negative modes $\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}$ by $\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}} = \delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}$ ($n \geq 0$) and $\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}} = \tilde{\delta}_{(-n)}^{\text{flat}}$ ($n \leq -1$). We then find that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{(0)}^{\text{lim}} &= \Delta_{(0)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad \delta_{(1)}^{\text{lim}} = \Delta_{(-1)}^{\text{flat}} + \Delta_{(1)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad \delta_{(2)}^{\text{lim}} = \Delta_{(-2)}^{\text{flat}} + 2\Delta_{(0)}^{\text{flat}} + \Delta_{(2)}^{\text{flat}}, \\ \delta_{(3)}^{\text{lim}} &= \Delta_{(-3)}^{\text{flat}} + 3\Delta_{(-1)}^{\text{flat}} + 3\Delta_{(1)}^{\text{flat}} + \Delta_{(3)}^{\text{flat}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and so on, with the general n case given by

$$\delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} = \sum_{p=0}^n C_p^n \Delta_{(n-2p)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad C_p^n \equiv \frac{n!}{p! (n-p)!}. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

This shows that the non-negative half of the Kac-Moody algebra in the flat-space limit of the gravity-coupled models is related to a combination of the positive and negative halves of the Kac-Moody algebra that arose in the previous flat-space discussion in [5].

We turn now to the negative half of the Kac-Moody algebra of the present paper, described by $\tilde{\delta}_1$ in (3.24). We have

$$\tilde{\delta}_1 X_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(1 - \lambda_1 \lambda_2)} X_2 \epsilon_1, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

from which it follows that

$$\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} X_2 = \lambda_2^n X_2 \epsilon_1. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Equally, in the flat-space expansion from [5] we have

$$\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{flat}} X_2 = t_2^n X_2 \epsilon_1. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

By substituting (A.5) into (A.13), expanding the right-hand side in powers of t_2 , and then using (A.14), we can read off the expressions for the modes $\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{lim}}$ in terms of the modes $\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}$. The first few modes are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\delta}_{(1)}^{\text{lim}} &= \tilde{\delta}_{(1)}^{\text{flat}} - \tilde{\delta}_{(3)}^{\text{flat}} + \tilde{\delta}_{(5)}^{\text{flat}} - \tilde{\delta}_{(7)}^{\text{flat}} + \dots, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{(2)}^{\text{lim}} &= \tilde{\delta}_{(2)}^{\text{flat}} - 2\tilde{\delta}_{(4)}^{\text{flat}} + 3\tilde{\delta}_{(6)}^{\text{flat}} - 4\tilde{\delta}_{(8)}^{\text{flat}} + \dots, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{(3)}^{\text{lim}} &= \tilde{\delta}_{(3)}^{\text{flat}} - 3\tilde{\delta}_{(5)}^{\text{flat}} + 6\tilde{\delta}_{(7)}^{\text{flat}} - 10\tilde{\delta}_{(9)}^{\text{flat}} + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

and the general case is given by

$$\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p (n+p-1)!}{p! (n-1)!} \tilde{\delta}_{(n+2p)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Combining our results for $\delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^{\text{lim}}$, and using the definition (3.32) for the full set of Kac-Moody transformations, we therefore have

$$\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} = \sum_{p=0}^n C_p^n \Delta_{(n-2p)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad n \geq 0 \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} = \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p C_p^{p-n-1} \Delta_{(n-2p)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad n \leq -1. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Now if n is temporarily generalised to be an arbitrary real variable whilst p is an integer, then we have $C_p^n/C_p^{p-n-1} = (-1)^p$, and so we see that (A.17) and (A.18) can really be combined into a single formula of the form (A.17), with the p summation appropriately extended;

$$\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}} = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_p^n \Delta_{(n-2p)}^{\text{flat}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

A direct demonstration that $\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{lim}}$ satisfies the standard Kac-Moody algebra (3.33) if $\Delta_{(n)}^{\text{flat}}$ satisfies the standard Kac-Moody algebra can easily be given, based on the identity that $(1+t)^p(1+t)^q = (1+t)^{p+q}$.

A.3 Virasoro-type symmetries in the flat-space limit

In our discussion of the Virasoro-type symmetries in section 4, we found that not only the fields $\mathcal{V}$ and X , but also the field ρ , is subject to these transformations, as in (4.29). When we take the flat-space limit we are fixing ρ to a constant, and consequently some of the Virasoro-type symmetry of the general gravity-coupled case will be broken. In fact, as we shall see below, it is the two “extra” generators $\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V$ and $\hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V$, whose action is given in (4.22), that are broken when we fix ρ (and also ρ_+ and ρ_- separately). In fact these two transformations will be treated as compensating transformations that restore ρ_+ and ρ_- to their chosen fixed values when the remaining Virasoro-type transformations $\hat{\delta}_{(n)}^V$ with $n \geq 1$ act. This loss of the $n = 0$ and $n = -1$ symmetries in the flat-space limit is consistent with the fact that they were never seen in the purely flat-space results in [5].

It can be seen from (4.14) (with $\beta = 0$) and (4.22) that in order to preserve the flat-space limit with $\rho_+ = \rho_- = 1$, the δ_1^V Virasoro transformations for the modes $n \geq 1$ should be accompanied by $\hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V$ and $\hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V$ compensating transformations in the combination

$$\tilde{\delta}_1^V = \delta_1^V - s_1^2 \hat{\delta}_{(0)}^V - 2s_1 c_1 \hat{\delta}_{(-1)}^V. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

We can therefore now pass to the flat-space limit by setting $\rho_+ = \rho_- = 1$, and calculate the commutators of these compensated Virasoro-type transformations, using the expressions for the commutators of the transformations appearing on the right-hand side of (A.20) that we have obtained in section 4. Thus we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\delta}_1^V, \tilde{\delta}_2^V] &= \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left[\frac{1 - 4\lambda_1^2}{1 - 4\lambda_2^2} \partial_{\lambda_1} \tilde{\delta}_1^V + \frac{1 - 4\lambda_2^2}{1 - 4\lambda_1^2} \partial_{\lambda_2} \tilde{\delta}_2^V \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{2\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} \left[\frac{1 + 4\lambda_1^2 - 8\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{1 - 4\lambda_2^2} \tilde{\delta}_1^V - \frac{1 + 4\lambda_2^2 - 8\lambda_1 \lambda_2}{1 - 4\lambda_1^2} \tilde{\delta}_2^V \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is inert under $\delta_{(0)}^V$ and $\delta_{(-1)}^V$, it follows that $\tilde{\delta}_1^V \mathcal{V} = \delta_1^V \mathcal{V}$, and so from (4.1) and (4.9) we have, after taking the flat-space limit in which λ and $t = \tau$ are related by (A.5),

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\delta}^V \mathcal{V} &= -\frac{t}{1-t^2} \mathcal{V} (\partial_\lambda X) X^{-1}, \\ &= -\frac{t}{1-t^2} \frac{\partial t}{\partial \lambda} (\partial_t X) X^{-1}, \\ &= \left(\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2} \right)^2 \delta^{V, \text{flat}} \mathcal{V},\end{aligned}\tag{A.22}$$

where $\delta^{V, \text{flat}}$ is the Virasoro-type transformation that we found in the purely flat-space discussion in [5]. (It is given by $\delta^{V, \text{flat}} \mathcal{V} = -t(\partial_t X(t)) X(t)^{-1}$.) We have also verified that the same relation (A.22) holds when acting on X instead of $\mathcal{V}$. Using (A.22), we can translate the commutator (A.21) into the commutator $[\delta_1^{V, \text{flat}}, \delta_2^{V, \text{flat}}]$, finding

$$\begin{aligned}[\delta_1^{V, \text{flat}}, \delta_2^{V, \text{flat}}] &= -2t_1 t_2 \left[\frac{1}{(t_1 - t_2)^2} + \frac{1}{(1 - t_1 t_2)^2} \right] \delta_1^{V, \text{flat}} + \frac{t_1 t_2 (1 - t_1^2)}{(t_1 - t_2)(1 - t_1 t_2)} \partial_{t_1} \delta_1^{V, \text{flat}} \\ &\quad - [1 \leftrightarrow 2],\end{aligned}\tag{A.23}$$

This agrees precisely with the commutator of Virasoro-type transformations that we found previously for the flat space models in [5].

The relation (A.22) can be re-expressed as a relation between the modes in the expansions of the two variations, namely

$$\tilde{\delta}_1^V = \sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda_1^n \tilde{\delta}_{(n)}^V, \quad \delta_1^{V, \text{flat}} = \sum_{n \geq 1} t_1^n \delta_{(n)}^{V, \text{flat}}.\tag{A.24}$$

For the first few levels we find

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\delta}_{(1)}^V &= \delta_{(1)}^{V, \text{flat}}, & \tilde{\delta}_{(2)}^V &= \delta_{(2)}^{V, \text{flat}}, & \tilde{\delta}_{(3)}^V &= \delta_{(3)}^{V, \text{flat}} + 5\delta_{(1)}^{V, \text{flat}}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{(4)}^V &= \delta_{(4)}^{V, \text{flat}} + 6\delta_{(2)}^{V, \text{flat}}, & \tilde{\delta}_{(5)}^V &= \delta_{(5)}^{V, \text{flat}} + 7\delta_{(3)}^{V, \text{flat}} + 22\delta_{(1)}^{V, \text{flat}}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.25}$$

References

- [1] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, *The $N = 8$ supergravity theory. 1: The Lagrangian*, Phys. Lett. **B80**, 48 (1978); *The $SO(8)$ supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B159**, 141 (1979).
- [2] B. Julia, *Group disintegrations*; E. Cremmer, *Supergravity in 5 dimensions*, in *Super-space and Supergravity*, Eds. S.W. Hawking and M. Rocek (Cambridge University Press, 1981) 331; 267.
- [3] E. Cremmer, B. Julia, H. Lü and C.N. Pope, *Dualisation of dualities. I*, Nucl. Phys. **B523**, 73 (1998), hep-th/9710119.
- [4] R. Geroch, *A method for generating new solutions of Einstein's equations 2*, J. Math. Phys. **13**, 394 (1972).
- [5] H. Lü, M.J. Perry and C.N. Pope, *Infinite-dimensional symmetries of two-dimensional coset models*, arXiv:0711.0400 [hep-th].
- [6] K. Pohlmeyer, *Integrable Hamiltonian systems and interactions through quadratic constraints*, Commun. Math. Phys. **46**, 207 (1976).
- [7] M. Lüscher, *Quantum nonlocal charges and absence of particle production in the two-dimensional nonlinear sigma model*, Nucl. Phys. **B135**, 1 (1978).
- [8] M. Lüscher and K. Pohlmeyer, *Scattering of massless lumps and nonlocal charges in the two-dimensional classical nonlinear sigma model*, Nucl. Phys. **B137**, 46 (1978).
- [9] W. Kinnersley and D.M. Chitre, *Symmetries of the stationary Einstein-Maxwell field equations. II, III*, J. Math. Phys. **18**, 1538 (1977); J. Math. Phys. **19**, 1926 (1978).
- [10] V.A. Belinsky and V.E. Zakharov, *Integration of the Einstein equations by the inverse scattering problem technique and the calculation of the exact soliton solutions*, Sov. Phys. JETP **48**, 985 (1978); Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **75**, 1953 (1978).
- [11] D. Maison, *Are the stationary, axially symmetric Einstein equations completely integrable?*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 521 (1978).
- [12] P. Breitenlohner and D. Maison, *On the Geroch group*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, **46**, 215 (1987).
- [13] L. Dolan, *Kac-Moody algebras and exact solvability in hadronic physics*, Phys. Rept. **109**, 1 (1984).
- [14] C. Devchand and D.B. Fairlie, *A generating function for hidden symmetries of chiral models*, Nucl. Phys. **B194**, 232 (1982).

- [15] Y.S. Wu, *Extension of the hidden symmetry algebra in classical principal chiral models*, Nucl. Phys. **B211**, 160 (1983).
- [16] B. Julia, *On infinite-dimensional symmetry groups in physics*, published in Niels Bohr Symposium, 1985: 0215.
- [17] H. Nicolai, *Two-dimensional gravities and supergravities as integrable system*, in “Schladming 1991, Proceedings, Recent aspects of quantum fields,” 231, and Hamburg DESY - DESY 91-038
- [18] B. Julia and H. Nicolai, *Conformal internal symmetry of 2d sigma-models coupled to gravity and a dilaton*, Nucl. Phys. **B482**, 431 (1996), hep-th/9608082.
- [19] J.H. Schwarz, *Classical symmetries of some two-dimensional models*, Nucl. Phys. **B447**, 137 (1995), hep-th/9503078.
- [20] J.H. Schwarz, *Classical symmetries of some two-dimensional models coupled to gravity*, Nucl. Phys. **B454**, 427 (1995), hep-th/9506076.
- [21] B.Y. Hou and W. Li, *Virasoro algebra in the solution space of the Ernst equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **13**, 1 (1987).
- [22] D. Maison, *Geroch group and inverse scattering method*, MPI-PAE/PTh 80/88 (1988).